(c) whether the 49 posts of Additional Commissioner thus rendered vacant have been filled; and

(d) if not, the officials discharging the duties of the vacant position especially in the months of January/February when there is rush of work in Income-Tax Department?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI GINGEE N. RAMACHANDRAN): (a) and (b) 49 officers from the grade of Additional Commissioner of Income-Tax/ Additional Director of Income-Tax were promoted to the grade of Commissioner of Income-Tax *vide* order dated 28th January, 2002, as per the recommendations of the Departmental Promotion Committee. They performed such duties as assigned to them by the respective Administrative Chief Commissioners under whose charge they were promoted.

(c) and (d) Necessary action to fill up consequential vacancies has already been initiated.

**Assessees under C.I.T.**

3719. SHRI LAJPAT RAI: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) the actual number of assessecs with each Commissioncratc of Income Tax (CIT);

(b) whether the said number of assessecs allotted to each CIT also include such fictitious assessecs also, which have just filed Form 2C(l/6 criterion); and

(c) if so, the number of State cases which do not bring any revenue to the exchequer?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI GINGEE N. RAMACHANDRAN): (a) The actual number of assessecs as on 31st March, 2002 is as per the Statement enclosed *(See* below).

(b) The above number of assessecs also include the assessecs who have filed their returns in Form 2C.

(c) The number of asscssees filing their returns in Form 2C during Financial Year 2001-2002 is as per the Statement enclosed.

***Statement***

*Number of assessees filling their returns in form 2C during Financial Year* 2001-02

(All figures in Lakhs)

| S.No | . Chief Commissioner of Income Tax | Total number of asscssees as on 31st March | No. of asscssees filling returns in Form 2C during F.Y. 2001-2002 (out of Column 3) |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 1. | Kolkata* | 22.14 | 0.98 |
| 2. | Durgapur* | 3.50 | 0.17 |
| 3. | Jalpaiguri* | 2.64 | 0.21 |
| 4. | Chcnnai | 11.58 | 1.54 |
| 5. | Coimbatore | 6.88 | 0.87 |
| 6. | Trichy | 5.47 | 1.26 |
| 7. | Madurai | 4.20 | 0.58 |
| 8. | Delhi | 17.89 | 0.26 |
| 9. | Pune | 11.71 | 0.72 |
| 10. | Thane | 4.91 | 0.05 |
| 11. | Nasik | 7.20 | 1.05 |
| 12. | Nagpur | 5.65 | 0.51 |
| 13. | Ahmedabad* | 8.00 | 0.21 |
| 14. | Surat* | 4.79 | 0.18 |
| 15. | Rajkot* | 5.09 | 0.09 |
| 16. | Vadodara* | 4.16 | 0.25 |
| 17. | Lucknow+Bareilly | 6.40 | 1.01 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|---|---|---|---|
| 18. | Allahabad | 4.40 | 0.67 |
| 19. | Bangalore | 9.66 | 0.85 |
| 20. | Hubli | 3.97 | 1.40 |
| 21. | Panaji | 4.56 | 1.47 |
| 22. | Jaipur | 6.36 | 0.50 |
| 23. | Jodhpur | 4.83 | 0.68 |
| 24. | Udaipur | 5.37 | 0.83 |
| 25. | Hydcrabad | 13.08 | 4.09 |
| 26. | Vishakhapatnam | 4.07 | 1.31 |
| 27. | Bhopal* | 5.50 | 0.51 |
| 28. | Raipur | 3.71 | 0.36 |
| 29. | Indore | 4.88 | 0.59 |
| 30. | Panchkula | 6.92 | 0.61 |
| 31. | Chandigarh | 4.94 | 0.71 |
| 32. | Amritsar | 7.47 | 0.76 |
| 33. | Ludhiana | 7.20 | 0.77 |
| 34. | Shimla | 2.17 | 0.43 |
| 35. | Kanpur* | 6.37 | 0.32 |
| 36. | Meerut | 4.87 | 0.62 |
| 37. | Dehra dun* | 2.49 | 0.45 |
| 38. | Cochin | 4.83 | 0.21 |
| 39. | Trivandrum | 3.40 | 0.71 |
| 40. | Bhubancshwar | 5.40 | 0.91 |
| 41. | Patna* | 6.35 | 0.41 |
| 42. | Ranchi* | 5.61 | 0.15 |
| 43. | Guwahati | 4.56 | 0.33 |
| 44. | Shillong | 2.68 | 0.32 |
| 45. | Mumbai | 21.79 | 0.11 |
| | TOTAL: | 299.65 | 31.02 |

∗Provisional Figures.